UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,404	09/29/2005	Thomas David Gurley	PU30097 4448	
<sup>24498</sup> <b>Joseph J. Laks</b>	7590 03/19/200	IINER		
Thomson Licen		ANDRAMUNO, FRANKLIN S		
2 Independence Way, Patent Operations PO Box 5312			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PRINCETON,	PRINCETON, NJ 08543			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/19/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/551,404	GURLEY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	FRANKLIN S. ANDRAMUNO	2623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timing the solution of t	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09/29</u> 2a)    This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)    This  3)    Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 09/29/05 is/are: a) ☐ a Applicant may not request that any objection to the ore Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is provided in the correction of the correction in the correction of the correction in the correction in the correction of the correction in	vn from consideration.  relection requirement.  r. accepted or b)  objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/29/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/551,404 Page 2

Art Unit: 2623

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Manson et al (US 6,543,051 B1) in view of Ganzer et al (US 5,121,430) in view of Naidoo et al (US 7,185,282 B1). Hereinafter referred as Manson, Ganzer, and Naidoo.

Regarding claims 1, 8 and 15, Mason discloses an apparatus, method and television signal receiver having an emergency alert function (Receive Emergency Alert message (402) in figure 4), processing means for enabling an alert output responsive to said emergency alert signals (Application Server (203) in figure 3).

However, Mason fails to disclose tuning means for tuning a channel frequency that provides emergency alert signals indicating an emergency event. Ganzer teaches in (column 2 lines 35-38) of a tuner. Also, Mason fails to disclose the use of a single user input button for receiving tactile user inputs to control said emergency alert function, wherein said single user input button is the only tactile user input means integral with said apparatus that is capable of controlling said emergency alert function. Naidoo discloses the use of a button as a human interface of a emergency response unit (Column 18 lines 34-37).

Art Unit: 2623

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to include the use of a tuner. This is a useful combination because the emergency unit can be used with a television system. Also, the use of a button as a human interface is useful for responses to false alarms.

Regarding claims 2, 9 and 16, Naidoo discloses an apparatus, method and television signal receiver, wherein said single user input button includes: a plurality of different states (column 27 lines 35-42); and said apparatus performs one or more different operations responsive to each of said states based on user programming (42-48).

Regarding claims 3, 10 and 17, Ganzer discloses an apparatus, method and television signal receiver, further comprising illuminating means for illuminating said single user input button based on a severity level of said emergency event (column 9 lines 46-51).

Regarding claims 4, 11 and 18, Ganzer discloses an apparatus, method and television signal receiver, wherein said illuminating means illuminates said single user input button using: a first color if said severity level represents a first level (red LED might indicate warning condition (column 9 lines 47-48); a second color if said severity level represents a second level (Stead yellow LED might indicate watch condition (column 9 lines 49-50); and a third color if said severity level represents a third level (Green LED indicates all clear (column 9 lines 50-51).

Regarding claims 5, 12 and 19, Ganzer discloses an apparatus, method and television signal receiver, wherein said first, second and third colors are each combined with a fourth color (The use of a fourth color can be adapted just like the sound of the ring tones for the emergencies. Changing a color or adding a color is a matter of changing an LED (column 9 lines 52-55. This is considered non-functional descriptive material and can not be used to define over prior art).

Regarding claims 6, 13 and 20, Ganzer discloses an apparatus, method and television signal receiver, wherein said illuminating means illuminates said single user input button using only said fourth color when said emergency alert function is not activated (Green LED indicates all clear (column 9 lines 50-51).

Regarding claims 7, 14 and 21, Ganzer discloses an apparatus, method and television signal receiver, wherein said illuminating means illuminates said single user input button using: two colors if said severity level represents a first level; three colors if said severity level represents a second level; and four colors if said severity level represents a third level (Using different degrees of emergencies is included in a logic circuitry to spell out the alert type (column 9 lines 55-58)).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKLIN S. ANDRAMUNO whose telephone number

Application/Control Number: 10/551,404 Page 5

Art Unit: 2623

is (571)270-3004. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs (7:30am - 5:00pm) alternate Fri off (EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (571)272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Chris Kelley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2623